MYO-FUNCTIONAL APPLIANCES



DR.RAJIVI SR LECTURER DEPARTMENT OF ORTHODONTICS

VYDEHI INSTITUTE OF DENTAL SCIENCES

CONTENTS

Definitions Classifications **Components Mode of action** Indications **Contra-Indications Advantages Optimum timings**

Diagnosis and treatment planning
Major Aplliances
Activator
Bionator
Frankel
Twinblock

Minor appliances



TYPES OF APPLIANCES



REMOVABLE

FIXED



MYOFUNCTIONAL





ORTHOPEDIC

CLASSIFICATION Functional Appliances Removable Fixed Group I Myotonic Passive Group II Group III Rigid Hybrid Flexible Myodynamic Active **Tooth Borne Tissue Borne**

Uses Of Myofunctional Appliances







Objectives of Functional Appliance Treatment

Harmonious development of the dentofacial structures

Eliminate unfavorable myofunctional and occlusal factors

Establish new functional behavior pattern

Unlock the malocclusion

Stimulate growth by applying favorable forces

ADVANTAGES-Early treatment

1. Superior facial esthetics

2. Greater ability to modify the growth process

3. Fewer extractions

4. Reduction in the duration and difficulty of subsequent therapy

5. Consistent and predictable elimination of phase II treatment

6. Improvement in patients self concept

7. Reduction in the fracture potential of protruding maxillary incisors

8. Greater patient compliance

9. Eliminate, if not reduce the need for future jaw surgery

10. Greater stability

DISADVANTAGES-Early treatment

- 1. Longer overall treatment time
- 2. Loss of compliance
- 3. Greater risk due to prolonged treatment such as root resorption, whitespot lesion, bone loss caries
- 4. Increased cost
- 5. Dilacerations of roots
- 6. Impaction of maxillary canines by premature uprighting of the roots of lateral incisors
- 7. Impaction of maxillary second molars

Indications

Patients only in active growth phase can receive this form of treatment.
Mild to moderate sagittal discrepancy corrections.
Reduced /normal / moderately increased anterior facial height.
Anticipated downward & forward growth of the mandible.
No missing teeth.



5. No severely rotated /tipped teeth

6. Lower incisors well aligned to profile

7. Minimal excess of space / crowding

8. Nasal breather

9. Adequately Motivated.



Neuromuscular problems are a contraindication

1. Adults / Post – Pubertal growth patients

2. Unfavourable facial morphology { Vertical growth pattern /increased anterior lower facial height }

3. Severely malposed teeth.

4. Severe crowding / spacing

5. Patient is a mouth breather /adenoids or has known allergies / speech problems .

6. Lack of cooperation

Components of functional appliances

Three Components are,

2.

- Bite planes- eruption
 - Shields or screens-muscle balance
- 3. Construction or working bite-mandibular repositioning



Facial Growth Spurt

- · Beginning of puberty or menstruation
- Evaluated by age, tooth eruption, height, ossification of hand/wrist bones on

x-ray



Optimum timing

- Increase of STH (Somatomedin)
- Increase of sex hormone
- High growth rate
- 8-10 years for removable type
- 11-13 years fixed type

Note- Most efficient in permanent dentition-(Profit, Pancherz AJO 2002)

What are the changes ??

Orthopaedic changes
 Dentoalveolar changes
 Muscular changes



2. Effects on Maxilla:

Inhibit horizontal maxillary growth

3. Effects on Dentition:

Maxillary incisor lingual tipping

Mandibular incisor labial tipping





NEED FOR FUNCTIONAL TREATMENT





ALTERED MUSCLE BALANCE AND HABITS REDIRECTION OF JAW GROWTH





IMBALANCE IN SKELETAL JAW BASES

Frankel Functional Regulator



FRANKEL APPLIANCE

Developed by Rolf Frankel of East Germany 1966. * Sometimes also referred to as Deficiency appliance. * ✤ Muscle training appliance. Function corrector or regulator Oral gymnastic appliance * The Frankel Device is an Exercise device, stimulating normal function while

The Frankel Device is an Exercise device, stimulating normal function while eliminating the Lip Trap, hyperactive Mentalis and Aberrant Buccinator and Orbicularis Oris action. The action of Frankel Regulator is intended to change or regulate the muscular environment of the face and teeth to stretch facial musculature to normal dimension, impede abnormal activity of the lips, tongue and cheeks and thus allow development of the jaws and teeth in all three planes. /This Functional appliance which is passive in itself plays a mediating role between the orofacial muscles and skeletal-dentoalveolar structures of the maxillae and mandible.

Indications

- 1. Horizontal Growth Patterns.
- 2. Functional Retrusion.
- **3**. *Deep Overbite.*
- 4. Excessive inter- occlusal clearance
- 5. Normally positioned maxilla

<u>Appliance design</u>

The 4 basic variations of the appliance by Rolf and Christine Frankel (1989):

FR I a- Class I deep bite cases b- Class II div 1 cases- overjet <7mm c- Class II div 1 cases- overjet >7mm FR II- Class II division 1,Class II division 2 FR III- Class III cases FR IV- Open bite cases FR V- with headgear

BASIC PARTS OF A FRANKEL APPLIANCE

Acrylic components

- **1.** Buccal Shields.
- 2. Lip pads.
- 3. Lower Lingual pads.
- Wire components
 - 4. Palatal bow.
 - 5. Labial bow
 - 6. Maxillary Canine Clasp.
 - 7. Maxillary Molar Occlusal Rest.
 - 8. *Maxillary lingual wire*
 - 9. lower Lingual springs
- 10. lower Lingual crossover wire
- 11. Labial support wires









FR III:

The lip pads are situated in the labial vestibular sulcus of the upper incisor segment, instead of the lower.
 The pads stand away from the mucosa and underlying alveolar bone in the same manner as with the FR II.
 For maxillary retrognatism.





The Frankel Philosophy

- 1. Vestibular arena of operation
- 2. /Sagittal correction via tooth borne maxillary anchorage
- Differential eruption guidance
 Minimal maxillary basal effect
 Periosteal pull by buccal shields and lip pads









Mode of action

- 1. *Increased in transverse and sagittal intraoral space*
- 2. Increase in vertical space
- **3**. *Mandibular protraction*
- **4.** *Muscle function adaptation*







Wear time

First 3 weeks- 2-4 hours/day
 After 3 weeks- 4-6 hours/day
 After 3rd visit – full time
 Exercises like talking, reading, closing the lips tightly etc.

CONCLUSION

These appliances utilize the muscle action of the patient to produce orthodontic or orthopaedic forces to restore facial balance.

• The question that must be addressed in diagnosis is : "does the patient require orthodontic treatment or functional orthopedic treatment or a combination of both and to what degree?

whether the patient requires functional appliance alone or need a orthognathic surgery or to what extend FA can reduce need for surgery?"



REFERENCES

Dentofacial orthopaedics with functional appliances by Thomas M. Graber, Thomas Rakoshi, Alexander G . Petrovic

- Contemporary orthodontics by William R Proffit
- Removable orthodontic appliances by Graber, Neuman
- Textbook of orthodontics, Gurkeerat Singh

